

# Clean Seas Environment Monitoring Programme

## Green Book

### *Contents Page*

#### Amendments Record

| The Green Book | Version | Date           |
|----------------|---------|----------------|
| Section        |         |                |
| Main Text      | 12      | September 2007 |
| Tables         | 12      | September 2007 |
| Appendices     | 12      | September 2007 |

---

**THE GREEN BOOK**


---

|                                 |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| <b>Table of Contents:</b> ..... | 2 |
|---------------------------------|---|

**Main Text**

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. Background.....  | 3 |
| 2. Aims of the Clean Seas Environment Monitoring Programme:.....  | 4 |
| 3. NMP Phase 1: the Spatial Survey.....                           | 4 |
| 4. Clean Seas Environment Monitoring Programme.....               | 5 |
| 5. Strategy.....  | 5 |
| 6. Methodology and Quality Control.....                           | 6 |
| 7. Accuracy Targets and the Power to Detect Temporal Trends ..... | 7 |
| 8. Data Submission .....  | 7 |
| 9. Reporting.....   | 8 |
| 10. References.....   | 8 |

**Tables**

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 1 - Temporal Trend Monitoring required in Sediments .....  | 1  |
| Table 2 - Monitoring required in Shellfish .....                 | 4  |
| Table 3 - Monitoring required in Fish .....                      | 8  |
| Table 4 - Monitoring of Nutrients in Water .....                 | 11 |
| Table 5 - Compliance Monitoring of Contaminants in Water .....   | 14 |
| Table 6 - Analytical Quality Control Reporting Requirements..... | 16 |

## **Appendices**

Appendix 1: General Sampling Record Requirements

Appendix 2: Biological Effects Sampling Procedures

Appendix 3: Procedural Guidelines for subtidal sediment sampling.

Appendix 4: Procedural Guidelines for the Collection and Processing of Fish Tissues for contaminants and biological effects

Appendix 5: Procedural Guidelines for the Collection and Processing of Shellfish and Algal Tissues for Body Burden Analysis.

Appendix 6: Sample preparation for sediment Physico-chemical analysis

Appendix 7: Procedural Guidelines for the analysis of trace metals in sediments and biota

Appendix 8. Procedural guidelines for analysis of trace organics in sediments and biota

Appendix 9. Procedural Guidelines for analysis of sediment supporting determinands

Appendix 10. Guidelines for the analysis of macrobenthos in sediment samples

Appendix 11. Procedural Guidelines for sampling and sample preparation of waters for nutrient analysis

Appendix 12: Procedural Guidelines for sampling and sample preparation of waters for Chlorophyll analysis.

Appendix 13. Procedural Guidelines for sampling and sample preparation of waters for contaminants analysis

Appendix 14: References for Analytical Methods

Appendix 15: References to relevant web sites

Appendix 16: - Data Submission Guidelines

Appendix 17: Membership of the Clean Safe Seas Evidence Group

---

## 1. Background

---

In 1987/88 the Marine Pollution Monitoring Management Group (MPMMG) reviewed the monitoring carried out in UK estuaries and coastal waters (Ref. 1) and concluded that there would be considerable merit in the regular sampling of a network of coastal monitoring stations. This network was to include offshore stations as reference stations, as recommended by the Oslo and Paris Commissions (now replaced by the OSPAR Commission) at the North Sea Task Force meeting in 1989.

In its formal response to the MPMMG review (Ref. 2), the Government accepted the need for a minimum core programme of marine monitoring to national standards for all UK waters. It was agreed that there should be a network of sampling sites around the UK coast comprising estuarine, intermediate and offshore localities. Monitoring would be the responsibility of the statutory marine monitoring authorities. The programme was not intended to be a substitute for more intensive local monitoring programmes. The initial phase of this National Monitoring Programme (NMP) was primarily aimed at producing a co-ordinated and reliable data set on nationally significant contaminants and biological effects in inshore and coastal waters.

In November 1998, the Minister for the Environment reaffirmed the need for marine environmental monitoring to protect our seas but also to ascertain whether regulatory measures to reduce inputs of dangerous substances to our seas are effective. The knowledge gained may be used in the future to ensure that we use marine resources in a sustainable manner.

The main drivers for the second phase of the NMP initially called the National Marine Monitoring Programme and now the Clean Seas Environment Monitoring Programme (CSEMP) are:

- **to meet temporal trend monitoring requirements of the OSPAR international agreement:**

The OSPAR Convention aims to protect the marine environment of the North East Atlantic. Under the OSPAR Convention, contracting parties are required to take all possible action to prevent and eliminate pollution of the North East Atlantic. Parties also contract to monitor the marine environment under the OSPAR Joint Assessment and Monitoring Programme (JAMP) and the Nutrients Monitoring Programme (replaced by the Eutrophication Monitoring Programme in 2005). Many aspects of the CSEMP are designed to contribute to the UK monitoring requirements under OSPAR (such as temporal trend monitoring for contaminants in sediments and biota).

- **for compliance with EC Directives:**

The EC Dangerous Substances Directive (76/464/EEC) requires monitoring for List I/II consented substances at sites adjacent to discharges and at reference sites. Data collected from marine reference sites (National Network Points) are submitted to the CSEMP. The Dangerous Substances Directive also requires analysis of sediments or biota to determine any increasing trends in the substances discharged. Shellfish are also analysed for metals and organic compounds to meet some of the requirements of, the Shellfish Waters Directive (79/923/EEC), the Shellfish Hygiene Directive (91/492/EEC) and as amended by 97/61/EC, and the Fisheries Products Directive (91/493/EEC).

Ecosystem monitoring to determine the impact of anthropogenic inputs of nutrients is required for the Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive (91/271/EEC), Nitrates Directive

(91/676/EEC), Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) and Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EEC). A range of parameters must be monitored to determine whether there is an undesirable disturbance to the ecosystem arising from anthropogenic nutrients.

- **to meet research and development needs**

Research and development needs may be driven by OSPAR or nationally. New substances or biological effects measurements are not generally added to the temporal trend monitoring programme until a spatial survey which highlights further monitoring requirements has been completed. A number of research and development spatial surveys for new determinands and biological effects are included in CSEMP. These requirements are revised annually.

- **for local monitoring**

There are occasions when local marine environmental monitoring undertaken by one or more CSEMP organisations becomes of national interest, as in a major oil spill. In addition, where monitoring identifies a particular problem in one or more areas, more intense monitoring may be required. Where locally gathered data meet the quality control data requirements for CSEMP, they may be included on the CSEMP database.

---

## **2. Aims of the Clean Seas Environment Monitoring Programme:**

---

The general aims of the programme are:

- To initiate monitoring programmes to detect, with appropriate accuracy, long-term trends in physical, biological and chemical variables at selected estuarine and coastal sites.
- To support and ensure consistent standards in national and international monitoring programmes for marine environmental quality (for example: EC Directives, OSPAR).
- To make recommendations to MARG as to how new analyses and techniques are best implemented in the United Kingdom.
- To co-ordinate, make optimum use of, and gain maximum information from marine monitoring in the United Kingdom.
- To provide and maintain a high quality dataset for key chemical and biological variables in the marine environment of the United Kingdom.
- To produce reports providing overviews of the spatial and temporal distributions of these variables and their inter-relationships.

The first phase of spatial surveys (NMP) revealed the pattern of marine quality around the UK. The second phase (NMMP2, now CSEMP) started on the detection of long term temporal trends. The second phase of the programme (1999-2002) was reported in 2004. The programme is currently being reviewed to incorporate new legislative requirements and improve the power of the programme to detect trends through improved sampling.

---

## **3. NMP Phase 1: the Spatial Survey**

---

Phase 1 of the NMP was largely executed over the period 1993-95 through the spatial survey. This was detailed in The Plan (Ref. 3) In addition, further information gaps were dealt with in 1996-1998. The objectives for the spatial survey were:

- a) To permit a statistical comparison of the concentration of contaminants between sites (spatial distribution), highlighting areas with high concentrations of contaminants that are of concern and merit a trend survey;

- b) To estimate the variability, arising from natural variations of the determinands and from the methods of sampling and analysis, inherent in the recorded data in those areas whose concentrations of contaminants cause concern;
- c) To enable comparison of biological effects between the different areas.

To assist in meeting these objectives a central computerised database for contaminants in all media and for biological effects in the UK marine environment was established in 1996 at the National Centre for Environmental Data and Surveillance of the Environment Agency, in Bath. This was replaced in 2006 by a new Marine Environment (MERMAN) database which is hosted by Defra and managed by the British Oceanographic Data Centre (BODC) in Liverpool.

The phase 1 objectives were largely achieved and were reported in the 'Survey of the Quality of UK Coastal Waters' (Ref. 4).

---

#### **4. Clean Seas Environment Monitoring Programme**

---

The data from the spatial survey were analysed. 1999 marked the introduction of the second phase of the programme, concentrating on temporal trend monitoring in line with the initial objectives and the introduction of new biological effects studies. From the results of the spatial survey, specific areas were identified for long-term monitoring and new objectives for the second phase were established. Phase 2 is known as the Clean Seas Environment Monitoring Programme (formerly National Marine Monitoring Programme 2 (NMMP2)).

CSEMP is integrated where possible with other monitoring programmes such as EC directives. These programmes have been included where it is prudent to integrate with CSEMP but do not represent all EC Directive compliance monitoring in the marine environment.

As many of the objectives of CSEMP will be achieved over a long time-scale, the programme will be reviewed annually. To assist in this, this document and its dependants will be operated as a controlled document operated by the Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) through its quality system. The current version is available on the web ([www.sepa.org.uk](http://www.sepa.org.uk))

---

#### **5. Strategy**

---

CSEMP seeks to integrate national and international monitoring programmes across UK agencies. It does not represent all the marine monitoring programmes being implemented by these agencies but it complements existing programmes. CSEMP seeks to ensure consistent standards, comparability of measurements and data exchange. Phase 1 of the programme focussed on known impacted estuaries, with some offshore monitoring. During phase 2, some monitoring effort will be directed at less impacted estuaries in considering temporal trends and spatial variability. Additional coastal and offshore areas will be monitored as required.

The monitoring programme is outlined in Tables 1-6 for each determinand and matrix combination. Sampling frequencies are designed to detect temporal trends with appropriate statistical accuracy. Sites have been selected to ensure that the maximum amount of information may be gained at each site. Sediment samples, for example, are to be collected at locations suitable for biological measurements. This will ensure maximum co-ordination of information.

Sites are listed, with the organisations responsible for monitoring, in STATN.csv. This spreadsheet forms the MERMAN site reference table and is controlled by BODC.

The following criteria guided choice of the network of monitoring sites:

- fixed estuarine sites were selected where possible in the high salinity band (20-30) to minimise variability with salinity.
- sampling sites were chosen to be representative of stable depositional substrates suitable for benthic community analysis.
- Parties also contract to monitor the marine environment under the OSPAR Joint Assessment and Monitoring Programme (JAMP).
- further estuarine and coastal sites suspected to be non-impacted were selected where a good long-term dataset already existed which would be ideal for monitoring temporal trends or where maximum use could be made of such data (for example - Special Areas of Conservation).
- with the exception of the specific TBT/imposex investigations, all sites were located outside the influence of shipping channels, dredging operations or the mixing zone of known inputs.

Figure 1 is a Gant Chart showing the seasonal monitoring requirements and reporting deadline in the different sampling groups.

For each element of the sampling programme there is a corresponding appendix which sets out detailed procedural guidance for sampling and analysis of samples. The procedures are intended as standard guidance and take into account published guidance from JAMP, and reflect a UK interpretation of this guidance as well as practical experience of what is realistically achievable with the resources available to Agencies responsible for undertaking the programme.

---

## 6. Methodology and Quality Control

---

All methodologies are outlined in the appendices and references. All laboratories contributing data to the CSEMP must participate in the relevant AQC schemes outlined below. The NMCAQC scheme requires laboratories to employ analytical methods which will achieve agreed targets. A similar process operates for the biological data.

Data entering the MERMAN database must be of specified quality and for this reason inter-laboratory proficiency testing schemes have been initiated to support the analytical work associated with CSEMP.

### **The UK National Marine Chemistry Advisory Group (UK NMCAG) (formerly NMCAQC)**

The National Marine Chemical Analytical Quality Control scheme (NMCAQC) was established in 1992 and the name and Terms of Reference were updated in 2007. The aim of the UK NMCAG group is to co-ordinate and advise on both current and future requirements marine analytical chemistry monitoring (methodology, techniques, quality assurance and associated research) in the UK marine environment and to quality mark UK marine chemistry analytical data submitted as part of the UK Clean Seas Environmental Monitoring Programme (CSEMP)

It oversees all aspects of quality control of marine chemistry monitoring for CSEMP. Independent proficiency testing materials are provided by QUASIMEME (Quality Assurance of Information for Marine Environmental Monitoring in Europe). The NMCAQC group works closely with QUASIMEME to ensure that UK CSEMP interests are covered by the scheme. The suitability of contaminant data submitted to CSEMP is judged by means of a data filter (Dobson *et al.* Ref. 5). The data filter requires laboratories to demonstrate the use of

appropriate internal and external Quality Control procedures to show that their data is fit for purpose. Data is excluded where QC performance does not meet the required standard. Quality Control information required for the data filter is detailed in Table 6.

## **NMBAQC**

The National Marine Biological Analytical Control Scheme was established in 1994 to monitor all aspects of marine biological quality control for benthic faunal community studies. Approximately half of the participants of the scheme are now laboratories without CSEMP responsibilities. A variety of different approaches to measure quality in biological analyses has been examined by the group. Most of the exercises now take the format of ring test identifications and 'own-sample' exercises. The ring tests are aimed at improving laboratory expertise. The own-sample exercise assures the quality of marine biological data in the MERMAN database. A data filter similar to the NMCAQC system, which uses information about both in-house and external quality control procedures is currently being developed. Again, only data which meet the specified quality standards enter the database.

## **UK Group for the Biological Effects of Contaminants in the Marine Environment (formerly NMEAQC)**

The National Marine Ecotoxicological Analytical Quality Control Group was established in 1998 to provide methods and quality control procedures for biological effects measurements recommended for CSEMP. The Terms of Reference of the group were revised in 2007 to have a wider remit covering all aspects of the monitoring of biological effects of contaminants in the UK marine environment. This may be carried out to meet the needs of "drivers" such as OSPAR, WFD, etc and covers for example survey design, sampling protocols, sample analysis including AQC, data assessment and reporting. The group will coordinate with the European project, BEQUALM (Biological Effects Quality Assurance in Monitoring) to ensure that there is minimum duplication of activities. Data quality filters will be developed in this area in line with the chemistry and biology AQC data filters.

Considerable progress has been made in co-ordinating the monitoring activities of the responsible organisations in the United Kingdom through the AQC groups. This ranges from improved dialogue between organisations to collaboration between the individual laboratories responsible for the practical implementation of the work. In parallel with the co-ordination effort, quality control procedures have been developed to ensure comparability of data between laboratories.

---

## **7. Accuracy Targets and the Power to Detect Temporal Trends**

---

The variables and sites to be measured are outlined in Tables 1-6 and in the MERMAN reference tables param\_uomval and STATN. The anticipated power of each aspect of the programme is given within each table where temporal trends are being monitored. It should be noted that where a programme is being carried out to measure compliance with a Directive, the accuracy targets are generally set to a tenth of any environmental quality standard (EQS). The power of the benthic monitoring data is currently being evaluated by the NMBAQC group. There are no long-term biological effects monitoring programmes at this stage to evaluate the power of these programmes. However, as the data sets are gathered, assessments of their power will be made as appropriate.

---

## **8. Data Submission**

---

Contaminants and benthic community data collected for CSEMP are submitted to the MERMAN database which is managed by BODC and hosted by Defra. Data is passed through the appropriate AQC filters.

**Participants are required to submit contaminant data annually by 1<sup>st</sup> June of the year following sampling.**

---

## 9. Reporting

---

The 2<sup>nd</sup> NMMP report (Ref 6) summarised the first 3 years (1999-2001) of data and NMMP2 data was used in the Defra report on the state of the seas (Ref 7). In future annual summary reports will be produced by the MERMAN database.

---

## 10. References

---

- 1 DOE (1991), The Principles and Practice of Monitoring in UK Coastal Waters. A Report from the Marine Pollution Monitoring Management Group.
- 2 DOE (1991), The Principles and Practice of Monitoring in UK Coastal Waters. The Government Response to a Report from the Marine Pollution Monitoring Management Group.
- 3 HMIP (1994), 'UK National Monitoring Plan' Marine Pollution Monitoring Management Group, Monitoring Co-ordination Sub-Group.
- 4 Marine Pollution Monitoring Management Group (1998), Survey of the Quality of UK Coastal Waters. ISBN 0 9532838 3 6
- 5 Dobson, J.E., M.J. Gardner, B.S. Miller, M.A. Jessep and R.H. Toft (1999), An Approach to the Assessment of the Quality of Environmental Quality Data. Journal of Environmental Monitoring. 1(1):91-95.
6. Marine Environment Management Group (2004). UK National Marine Monitoring Programme – Second Report (1999 – 2001). ISBN 0 907545 20 3
7. Defra (2005) Charting Progress – an Integrated Assessment of the State of UK Seas