Common Challenges Faced in Implementing Effective Environmental Monitoring: An International Perspective

Miguel Patel, Senior Technical Adviser



PREMIAM CONFERENCE, 22ND JUNE 2022





ITOPF'S AIM:





ITOPF'S REMIT:



OTHER SUBSTANCES CARRIED BY SHIPS









ITOPF STATISTICS

ITOPF assistance: 2017-2022





"In the event of an oil pollution incident, prompt and effective action is essential in order to minimise the damage which may result from such an incident"

EFFECTIVE SPILL **RESPONSE**

Priority actions

• Limiting the spread of pollutant is generally the priority in a pollution incident.

CON

 Measuring the effect of pollution and the recovery of damaged environmental components is generally a lower-priority in the early stages.



EFFECTIVE SPILL **RESPONSE**

Key factors for success



ORGANISATIONAL



LEGAL & ECONOMIC





Key factors for success







LEGAL & ECONOMIC









Why the disparity in preparedness?

OIL SPILL Response

- Effective **spill response precludes impact**, so naturally treated as a **priority**.
- The **behaviour of oil** at sea is generally **predictable.**
- **Clear aims**; less need for protracted project scoping and design.
- Easier to plan for and resource.

POST-INCIDENT MONITORING

- The **nature of impact** depends on wide array of factors and is **difficult to predict**.
- The **aims**, **scope** and **design** of impact studies **vary** from **case-to-case**.
- Planning and resourcing more complex.



Academic Institutions

NGOs

National **Environmental Authorities**

Local Laboratories

EFFECTIVE POST-INCIDENT

MONITORING

Organisational factors

Community/ species-specific ecologists

Other service providers

Shipowner/ P&I Club

Technical specialists

Site/resource Managers

National Maritime Authority



Academic Institutions National Environmental Authorities

Local Laboratories Community/ species- specific ecologists

Shipowner/

P&I Club

 Roles and responsibilities of stakeholders generally poorly mutually understood;

Other service providers

 Channels of communication absent, requiring relationship building on ad-hoc basis after an incident → leading to delays;

 Lack of integration and coordination results in various stakeholders acting in isolation → leading to adversarial approach and duplication of effort.

NGOs

Technical specialists

Site/resource Managers National Maritime Authority











Organisational factors

- Integration into the response preparedness activities brings numerous benefits:
- Improved coordination and management;
- Response data offers vital scoping information;
- Existing funding source and synergies in resource use.

• But there are some drawbacks...





Organisational factors

- Response exercises typically encompass only the first hours and days following an incident;
- Most post-incident monitoring activities occur over a longer timeframe;
- Scope to fully explore issues is therefore limited;



Organisational factors

- Response exercises typically encompass only the first hours and days following an incident;
- Most post-incident monitoring activities occur over a longer timeframe;
- Scope to fully explore issues is therefore limited;
- **Potential** for **bolt-on training** as part of response exercises.



PREPAREDNESS ACTILITES

& SPONSE

Key factors for success







TECHNICAL

LEGAL & ECONOMIC



Technical factors



- Generally relate to potential **chronic pollution sources**;
- Longer timeframes to mobilise relevant expertise;
- **Parameters** based on **regulatory requirements**; pre-existing environmental conditions examined.

Although technical skills relating to specific environmental receptors may be available; experience in post-incident monitoring is scarcer.



- Generally relate to acute pollution (excl. sunken wrecks);
- Shorter timeframes to mobilise relevant expertise;



VS.

Technical factors



- Generally relate to potential **chronic pollution sources**;
- Longer timeframes to mobilise relevant expertise;



Although technical skills relating to specific environmental receptors may be available; experience in post-incident monitoring is scarcer.



- Generally relate to acute pollution (excl. sunken wrecks);
- Shorter timeframes to mobilise relevant expertise;





VS.

Technical factors





COMMON ISSUES:

- **Pre-spill baseline data** is very seldom available;
- Ephemeral data collection and use of reference sites for comparison are a valid option.
- ...But this requires human and material resources to be ready

to go.















Key factors for success







LEGAL & ECONOMIC







Legal/economic factors











CLAIM CATEGORIES



Property damage



Economic loss



Environmental damage





Legal/economic factors

- Shipowner is strictly liable for pollution damage regardless of established fault;
- Under the **Bunker Convention**, *Shipowner* includes **registered owner**, **bareboat charterer**, **manager** and **operator** of the ship.
- Exemptions include acts of god, act of war, third party sabotage or negligence from government/authorities.



Under CLC 92 and HNS Conventions claims are to be brought only against the shipowner.

ELLING

'compensation for impairment of the environment other than loss of profit from such impairment shall be limited to costs of **reasonable measures of reinstatement** actually undertaken or to be undertaken.'

EFFECTIVE POST-INCIDENT MONITORING

Legal/economic factors



• Compensation is available for the costs of post-incident studies and reinstatement measures;

- Environmental damage claims based on theoretical models and loss of services per se are not admissible;
- Detailed guidance on admissibility is provided in the IOPC Claims Manual and Environmental Damage Guidelines.



Legal/economic factors





COMMON ISSUES:

- Lack of awareness among stakeholders of benefits of early engagement with the shipowner (via P&I Club), the IOPC Funds and their experts;
- Lack of understanding of funding may lead to delays in initiating monitoring. Critical in the case of ephemeral data collection;
- Studies conducted in isolation are less likely to meet admissibility criteria.

Legal/economic factors





COMMON ISSUES:

- In some cases, **punitive action** against the polluter can take precedence over **detailed monitoring studies**;
- Financial penalties (often based on abstract calculation) are generally not used to address actual damage caused by a given incident;
- This detracts from the goal of understanding damage in order to inform decision-making around reinstatement.

Legal/economic factors

- Some countries have moved towards legally mandated monitoring following pollution incidents;
- The level of prescription dictated by regulations can lead to inappropriate scoping of studies;



• Set time intervals are commonly encountered, whereas an iterative approach is encouraged.







TAKE HOME POINTS

- All aspects of response, including post-incident monitoring are subject to a range of organisational, technical and economic/legal factors.
- Further integration of monitoring activities into response preparedness activities is needed to enhance understanding, skills and experience.
- Close collaboration between stakeholders following an incident and in 'peace-time' is critical to overcome many of the challenges faced in implementing effective post-incident monitoring.

Common Challenges Faced in Implementing Effective Environmental Monitoring: An International Perspective

